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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 2020, the UL began monitoring employee representation in decision-making bodies and management positions at the UL and its member faculties, focusing on various demographic data (gender, title, field). In doing so, the share that is lower than 40\% for a specific gender (i.e., the minimum share for each of the genders) has been taken into account throughout the document. We gathered the data by reviewing publicly available information (member faculties' websites) and by verifying it directly at member faculties. The data reflect the situation as of late November 2020 and were not updated to reflect any subsequent changes that may have occurred. ${ }^{1}$ We supplemented the data from HR databases with other information (title, etc.) and annotated the field with regard to the Study Programme Records (EŠP) database ${ }^{2}$. As a comprehensive system of monitoring is still in the process of being prepared, certain data may be incomplete (e.g. data regarding titles).

[^0]
## 2. STRUCTURE OF HIGHER EDUCATION TEACHERS AND ASSOCIATES ${ }^{3}$ - GENDER, TITLE, FIELD

At the UL, the higher education teaching staff is $59 \%$ male and $41 \%$ female, whereas the associate staff (only assistants are included) is $54 \%$ male and $46 \%$ female. In total, the share of men and women in the academic staff amounts to $57 \%$ and $43 \%$, respectively.

Women account for 31\% of those holding the title 'Full Professor', while men account for 8\% of those holding the title 'Language Instructor' (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Structure of employees (academic staff) by gender and title
Basis: Academic staff by title


In the social sciences, $33 \%$ of employees holding the title 'Assistant' are male, while in the natural-mathematical and technical sciences, $20 \%$ and $21 \%$ such employees are women, respectively.

In the natural-mathematical and technical sciences, $26 \%$ and $32 \%$ of employees holding the title 'Assistant Professor' are women, respectively; in both fields of science $22 \%$ and $23 \%$ of those holding the title 'Associate Professor' are women, respectively.

With regard to employees holding the title 'Full Professor', in the biotechnical sciences $38 \%$ of these are women, in the social sciences and humanities and arts $36 \%$ are women, in the medical sciences $37 \%$ are women, in the natural-mathematical sciences $15 \%$ are women, and in the technical sciences $22 \%$ are women.

In the social sciences, $15 \%$ of employees holding the title 'Language Instructor' are men, in the humanities and arts $5 \%$ of these are men, while in the medical and technical sciences there are no men $(0 \%)$ holding this title.

3 The share of higher education teachers in our database amounts to $63 \%$, and the share of higher education associates (our database only includes assistants) amounts to $37 \%$.

Furthermore, no employees (0\%) holding the title 'Lecturer' in the biotechnical and technical sciences are women. In the social sciences, $33 \%$ of employees with the title 'Lecturer' are women, while in the medical sciences $15 \%$ of those holding the title 'Lecturer' are men.

In the medical sciences, $17 \%$ of employees holding the title 'Senior Lecturer' are men, while in the biotechnical and technical sciences $33 \%$ of these employees are women, and there are no women $(0 \%)$ with this title in the natural-mathematical sciences.

The gender share of higher education teachers in the natural-mathematical sciences is $19 \%$ female, and in the technical sciences it's $26 \%$ female.

The gender share of higher education associates in the social sciences is $33 \%$ male, in the natural-mathematical sciences it's $20 \%$ female, while in the technical sciences it's $21 \%$ female.

The total gender share of academic staff in the natural-mathematical sciences is $19 \%$ female, and in the technical sciences it's $24 \%$ female (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Structure of employees (academic staff) by gender, title and field
Basis: Academic staff within a particular science by title


## 3. STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYEES IN TERMS OF PARTICIPATING IN MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONS WITHIN THE UL RECTORATE AND MEMBER FACULTIES GENDER, ROLE, TITLE, FIELD

The gender share of employees holding the titles 'Rector' or 'Dean' is $26 \%$ female, and $38 \%$ female with regard to holding the title 'Vice-rector'. In the position of '(General) Secretary', $30 \%$ of employees with this title are men (Figure 3). In total, employees holding management positions are $58 \%$ men and $42 \%$ women, which differs from the figures for the overall UL employee structure (taking only academic staff into account) by 1 percentage point.

Figure 3: Structure of management employees by gender and role
Basis: Management employee by role (Dean, Vice-dean, Secretary)


In the biotechnical sciences and humanities and arts, the gender share of employees holding the function of 'Vice-dean' is $33 \%$ female; furthermore, the gender share of employees holding the title of 'Dean' in humanities and arts is $20 \%$ female, and the gender share of employees holding the title 'Secretary at a member faculty' is $20 \%$ male.

In the medical and natural-mathematical sciences, there are no men $(0 \%)$ holding the position of 'Secretary at a member faculty', while $33 \%$ of employees with the title 'Dean' are women. A total $53 \%$ of employees in the medical sciences are women.

In the natural-mathematical sciences, the gender share of employees holding the title 'Vice-dean' is $30 \%$ female, while the overall structure of employees in this field is $19 \%$ female.

In the technical sciences, the total gender share for all management functions is $21 \%$ female, there are no women 0\%) holding the 'Dean' function, and $19 \%$ of those with the 'Vice-dean' function are female. Overall, $24 \%$ of the employees in technical sciences are women ${ }^{4}$ (Image 4).

[^1]Figure 4: Structure of management employees by gender, role and field
Basis: Management employees in a certain field and by role (Dean, Vice-dean, Secretary)


Among the male employees occupying management positions, the highest share is found for Full Professors (62\%), followed by those holding the titles 'Associate Professor' (25\%) and 'Assistant Professor' (12\%).

Among the female employees occupying management positions, the highest share is also found for Full Professors (49\%), followed by employees holding the titles 'Associate Professor' (38\%) and 'Assistant Professor' (13\%) (Figure 5a).

Figure 5a: Structure of management employees by gender and title
Basis: Management employees by gender (M, F)


The gender share of employees occupying management positions and holding the title 'Assistant Professor' is $36 \%$ female, while the structure of UL employees holding the title 'Assistant Professor' is $\mathbf{4 3} \%$ female.

The gender share of employees occupying management positions and holding the title 'Full Professor' is $30 \%$ female, while the structure of UL employees holding the title 'Full Professor' is $31 \%$ female.

The gender share of employees occupying management positions and holding the title 'Senior Lecturer' is $0 \%$ female, while the structure of UL employees holding the title 'Senior Lecturer' is $57 \%$ female. (Figure 5b)

Figure 5b: Structure of management employees by gender and title

Basis: Management employees by title (Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Full Professor, Senior Lecturer)


## 4. STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYEES IN TERMS OF PARTICIPATING IN UL MEMBER FACULTIES' SENATES GENDER, ROLE, TITLE, FIELD

The member faculties' senates are $60 \%$ male and $40 \%$ female (Figure G), which differs from the overall UL employee structure (taking only academic staff into account) by 3 percentage points.

The structure does not take into account the President of the Senate, as they are, by function, the Dean.

Figure 6: Structure of employees in member faculties' senates by gender and role
Basis: Senate members by role (senate member)


In the natural-mathematical sciences, gender representation in the senate is $21 \%$ female. The overall structure of UL employees in the natural-mathematical sciences is $19 \%$ female.

In the technical sciences, gender representation in the senate is $27 \%$ female. The overall structure of UL employees in the technical sciences is $24 \%$ female (Figure 7).

Figure 7: Structure of employees in senates by gender, role and field
Basis: Senate members, employees in a certain field by role (senate member)


Among the male employees who are senate members, the highest share is that of Full Professors (62\%), followed by employees holding the titles 'Associate Professor' (21\%) and 'Assistant Professor' (14\%).

Among the female employees who are senate members, the highest share is that of Full Professors (48\%), followed by employees holding the titles 'Associate Professor' (26\%) and 'Assistant Professor' (19\%) (Figure 8a).

Figure 8a: Employee structure in senates by gender and title
Basis: All senate members by gender (M,F)


The gender share of employees who are senate members and hold the title 'Full Professor' is $33 \%$ female, while the structure of UL employees holding the title 'Full Professor' is $31 \%$ female. The gender shares of employees who are senate members and hold the title 'Language Instructor' and 'Lecturer' are 0\% male, while the structure of UL employees holding the title 'Language Instructor' is $8 \%$ male, and those holding the title 'Lecturer' is $44 \%$ male (Figure $8 b$ ).

Figure 8b: Employee structure in senates by gender and title
Basis: Members in senates by title (Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Full Professor, Language Instructor, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Assistant)


## 5. STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYEES IN TERMS OF PARTICIPATING IN THE MANAGEMENT BOARDS OF THE UL AND MEMBER FACULTIES - GENDER, ROLE, TITLE, FIELD

The overall gender representation in the management boards of the UL and member faculties is $34 \%$ female, for those holding the title 'President' it is $30 \%$ female, and those performing the role of 'Member' it is $35 \%$ female. ${ }^{5}$ In the overall structure of all UL employees $43 \%$ are women (Figure 9).

Figure 9: Structure of employees participating in management boards, by gender and role

Basis: Members of management boards by role (President of the Management Board, Member of the Management Board)


5 Only the management board members from the UL are included.

In the social sciences, the gender share of employees holding the title of 'President of the Management Board' is $14 \%$ female, while the overall structure of UL employees is $51 \%$ female.

In the humanities and arts, the overall gender representation in the management boards is $33 \%$ female, the gender share of employees holding the title of 'President' is $29 \%$ female, while for the role of 'Member' it is $33 \%$ female. The overall structure of UL employees in the humanities and arts is $52 \%$ female.

In the medical sciences, the overall gender representation in the management boards is $38 \%$ female, the gender share of employees holding the title of 'President' is $33 \%$ female, and $38 \%$ of those with the role of 'Member' are women. The overall structure of employees in the medical sciences is $53 \%$ female.

In the natural-mathematical sciences, the gender representation in the management boards is $21 \%$ female, while the gender share of employees holding the title of 'President' is $25 \%$ female, and $20 \%$ those performing the role of 'Member' are women. The overall structure of UL employees in the natural-mathematical sciences is $19 \%$ female.

In the technical sciences, the total gender representation in the management boards is $28 \%$ female, while $22 \%$ of those employees performing the role of 'Member' are women. The overall structure of employees in the technical sciences is $24 \%$ female (Figure 10).

Figure 10: Structure of employees participating in management boards, by gender, role and field

Basis: Members of the management board in a certain science field, by role (President of the Management Board, Member of the Management Board)

Structure of

$\square M \square F$

Among the male employees who participate in management boards, the highest share is that of Full Professors (48\%), followed by employees holding the titles 'Associate Professor' (23\%) and 'Assistant Professor' (22\%).

Among the female employees who participate in management boards, the highest share is that of Full Professors (35\%), followed by employees holding the titles 'Assistant Professor' (31\%) and 'Associate Professor' (19\%) (Figure 11a).

Figure 11a: Structure of employees participating in management boards, by gender and title

Basis: Employees participating in management boards, by gender (M, F)


The gender share of employees participating in management boards and holding the title 'Assistant Professor' is $38 \%$ female, while the overall structure of UL employees holding the title 'Assistant Professor' is $43 \%$ female. The gender share of employees holding the title 'Associate Professor' is $27 \%$ female, while the overall structure of UL employees holding the title 'Associate Professor' is $41 \%$ female; the gender share of employees holding the title 'Full Professor' is $24 \%$ female, while the overall structure of UL employees holding the title 'Full Professor' is $31 \%$ female. The gender share of employees holding the title 'Lecturer' is $0 \%$ male, while the overall structure of UL employees holding the title 'Lecturer' is $44 \%$ male (Figure 11b).

Figure 11b: Structure of employees participating in management boards, by gender and title

Basis: Employees participating in management boards, by title (Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Full Professor, Language Instructor, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Assistant)


## 6. STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYEES IN TERMS OF PARTICIPATING IN COMMISSIONS, COMMITTEES OF THE ${ }^{6}$ UL AND OF THE MEMBER FACULTIES GENDER, ROLE, TITLE, FIELD

The gender share of employees involved in commissions and committees of the UL and of the member faculties holding the title 'President' is $39 \%$ female.' The overall structure of UL employees is $43 \%$ female (Figure 12).

Figure 12: Structure of employees participating in commissions/committees, by gender and role

Basis: Members of commissions/committees by role (President, Member)


In the humanities and arts, the overall gender share in commissions/committees is $39 \%$ female, and the gender share of employees performing the role of 'Member' is the same, at $39 \%$ female. The overall structure of UL employees in the humanities and arts is $52 \%$ female.

[^2]In the natural-mathematical sciences, the total gender share in commissions/committees is $31 \%$ female, the function of 'President' is $11 \%$ female, while $35 \%$ of those performing the role of 'Member' are female. The overall structure of employees in the natural-mathematical sciences is $19 \%$ female.

In the technical sciences, the total gender share in commissions/committees is $23 \%$ female, $18 \%$ of those holding the function of 'President' are women, and $24 \%$ of those with role of 'Member' are women. The overall structure of employees in the technical sciences is $24 \%$ female (Figure 13).

Figure 13: Structure of employees participating in commissions/committees, by gender, role and field

Basis: Members of commissions/committees, employed in a certain field, by role (President, Member)


Among the male employees who participate in commissions/committees, the highest share is that of Full Professors (51\%), followed by employees holding the titles 'Associate Professor' (21\%) and 'Assistant Professor' (20\%).

Among the female employees who participate in commissions/committees, the highest share is that of Full Professors (39\%), followed by employees holding the titles 'Associate Professor' (26\%) and 'Assistant Professor' (22\%) (Figure 14a).

Figure 14a: Structure of employees participating in commissions/committees, by gender and title

Basis: Employees participating in commissions/committees, by gender (M, F)


The gender share of employees involved in commissions/committees and holding the title 'Full Professor' is $34 \%$ female, while the overall structure of UL employees holding the title 'Full Professor' is $31 \%$ female. The gender share of employees holding the title 'Lecturer' is $25 \%$ male men, while the overall structure of UL employees holding the title 'Lecturer' is $44 \%$ male. The gender share of employees holding the title 'Senior Lecturer' is $24 \%$ male, while the overall structure of UL employees holding the title 'Senior Lecturer' is $43 \%$ male (Figure 14b).

Figure 14b: Structure of employees participating in commissions/committees, by gender and title

Basis: Employees involved in commissions/committees by title (Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Full Professor, Language Instructor, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Assistant)


■M■F

## 7. STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYEES BY GENDER AT UL MEMBER FACULTIES

We continue by presenting data regarding the gender share in management positions and decision-making bodies at individual member faculties, taking into account the minimum share for each of the genders $(40 \%)$. At the same time, we present the structure of employees by gender at individual member faculties.t

Figure 15: Structure of management employees by gender and member faculty
Basis: All management employees at an individual member faculty

| UL member faculty |  |  | Structure of management employees at an individual member faculty (in \%) |  | Structure of employees at an individual member faculty (in \%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | M | F | M | F |
| UL AG | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 5) | 80 | 20 | 74 | 26 |
| UL AGRFT | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 4) | 50 | 50 | 66 | 34 |
| UL ALUO | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 67 | 33 | 70 | 30 |
| UL BF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 5) | 60 | 40 | 52 | 48 |
| UL EF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 5) | 60 | 40 | 52 | 48 |
| UL FA | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 67 | 33 | 62 | 38 |
| UL FDV | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 5) | 60 | 40 | 56 | 44 |
| UL FE | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 5) | 80 | 20 | 93 | 8 |
| UL FFA | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 5) | 40 | 60 | 52 | 48 |
| UL FGG | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 7) | 57 | 43 | 74 | 26 |
| UL FKKT | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 4) | 25 | 75 | 62 | 38 |
| UL FMF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 67 | 33 | 87 | 13 |
| UL FPP | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 4) | 100 | 0 | 68 | 32 |
| UL FRI | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 67 | 33 | 91 | 9 |
| UL FSD | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 4) | 0 | 100 | 21 | 79 |
| UL FS | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 5) | 100 | 0 | 91 | 9 |
| UL FŠ | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 4) | 25 | 75 | 71 | 29 |
| UL FU | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 5) | 60 | 40 | 49 | 51 |
| UL FF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 33 | 67 | 37 | 63 |
| UL MF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 50 | 50 | 51 | 49 |
| UL NTF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 83 | 17 | 49 | 51 |
| UL PEF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 50 | 50 | 31 | 69 |
| UL PF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 5) | 40 | 60 | 67 | 33 |
| UL TEOF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 75 | 25 | 70 | 30 |


| UL VF | $(\mathrm{n}=$ | $5)$ | 60 | 40 | 38 | 62 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UL ZF | $(\mathrm{n}=$ | $5)$ | 60 | 40 | 26 | 74 |
| Total sum |  | 58 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ |  |

Regarding Figure 15: Management employee structure at UL member faculties

| The gender share in the structure of management employees is a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty is also a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$. | UL BF, UL EF, UL FDV, UL FFA, UL FU, UL MF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The gender share in the structure of management employees is a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at each member faculty is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$. | At the UL AGRFT, UL FGG and UL PF, the gender share in the structure of employees is under $40 \%$ for women, whereas at the UL PEF, UL VF and UL ZF the gender share in the structure of employees is under $40 \%$ for men. |  |
| The gender share in the structure of management employees is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at each member faculty is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$. | At the UL AG, UL ALUO, UL FA, UL FE, UL FMF, UL FRI and UL TEOF, the gender share in the structure of management employees, as well as in the structure of employees at each member faculty, is under $40 \%$ for women, whereas at the UL FF both figures are under $40 \%$ for men. |  |
| The gender share in the structure of management employees is $0 \%$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty is under 40\%. | At the UL FPP and UL FS, the gender share in the structure of management employees is $0 \%$ for women, whereas at the UL FSD the gender share in the structure of management employees is $0 \%$ for men. |  |
| The gender share in the structure of management employees is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at each member faculty reaches a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$. | At the UL NTF, the gender share in the structure of management employees is under $40 \%$ for women, and at the same time women account for over $40 \%$ of the gender share in the structure of employees at each member faculty. |  |
| The gender share is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ in the structure of management employees for one gender, and at the same time the gender share is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ in the structure of employees at the member faculty for the other gender. | At the UL FKKT and UL FŠ, the gender share in the structure of management employees is under $40 \%$ for men, whereas the gender share in the structure of employees at member faculties is under $40 \%$ for women. |  |

Figure 16: Structure of senate members by gender and member faculty
Basis: All senate members at an individual member faculty

| UL member faculty |  |  | Structure of employees in the senate at an individual member faculty (in \%) |  | Structure of employees at an individual member faculty (in \%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | M | F | M | F |
| UL AG | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 17) | 65 | 35 | 74 | 26 |
| UL AGRFT | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 11) | 64 | 36 | 66 | 34 |
| UL ALUO | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 11) | 55 | 45 | 70 | 30 |
| UL BF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 16) | 50 | 50 | 52 | 48 |
| UL EF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 24) | 50 | 50 | 52 | 48 |
| UL FA | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 10) | 60 | 40 | 62 | 38 |
| UL FDV | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 16) | 63 | 38 | 56 | 44 |
| UL FE | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 25) | 96 | 4 | 93 | 8 |
| UL FFA | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 11) | 73 | 27 | 52 | 48 |
| UL FGG | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 21) | 71 | 29 | 74 | 26 |
| UL FKKT | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 16) | 63 | 38 | 62 | 38 |
| UL FMF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 11) | 82 | 18 | 87 | 13 |
| UL FPP | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 11) | 55 | 45 | 68 | 32 |
| UL FRI | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 11) | 100 | 0 | 91 | 9 |
| UL FSD | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 25) | 24 | 76 | 21 | 79 |
| UL FS | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 16) | 94 | 6 | 91 | 9 |
| UL FŠ | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 18) | 83 | 17 | 71 | 29 |
| UL FU | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 9) | 56 | 44 | 49 | 51 |
| UL FF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 43) | 44 | 56 | 37 | 63 |
| UL MF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 81) | 57 | 43 | 51 | 49 |
| UL NTF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 17) | 41 | 59 | 49 | 51 |
| UL PEF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 10) | 50 | 50 | 31 | 69 |
| UL PF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 48) | 67 | 33 | 67 | 33 |
| UL TEOF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 14) | 86 | 14 | 70 | 30 |
| UL VF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 23) | 43 | 57 | 38 | 62 |
| UL ZF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 13 | 88 | 26 | 74 |
| Total sum |  |  | 65 | 35 | 57 | 43 |

The gender share in the structure of members of the senate at a member faculty is a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty is a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$.
The gender share in the structure of members of the senate at a member faculty is a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty is under 40\%.
The gender share in the structure of members of the senate at a member faculty is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$.

UL BF, UL EF, UL FU, UL MF, UL NTF

At the ALUO, UL FA and UL FPP, the gender share in the structure of employees is under $40 \%$ for women, whereas at the UL FF, UL PEF and UL VF the gender share in the structure of employees is under $40 \%$ for men.
At the UL AG, UL AGRFT, UL FE, UL FGG, UL FKKT, UL FMF, UL FS, UL FŠ, UL PF and UL TEOF, the gender share in the structure of members of the senate as well as in the structure of employees at a member faculty is under $40 \%$ for women, whereas at the UL FSD and UL ZF both gender shares are under $40 \%$ for men.
At the UL FRI, the gender share in the structure of members of the senate is $0 \%$ for women.
$\qquad$ (


The gender share in the structure of members of the senate at a member faculty is $0 \%$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$.
The gender share in the structure of members of the senate is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty reaches a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$.

At the UL FDV and UL FFA, the gender share in the structure of members of the senate is under $40 \%$ for women, which at the same time reaches over $40 \%$ of the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty.

8 The data only include the UL employees.

Figure 17: Structure of employees participating in management boards, by gender and member faculty

Basis: All employees participating in management boards at an individual member faculty

| UL member faculty |  |  | Structure of employees in a management board at an individual member faculty (in \%) |  | Structure of employees at an individual member faculty (in \%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | M | F | M | F |
| UL AG | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 10) | 80 | 20 | 74 | 26 |
| UL AGRFT | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 5) | 80 | 20 | 66 | 34 |
| UL ALUO | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 7) | 86 | 14 | 70 | 30 |
| UL BF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 9) | 56 | 44 | 52 | 48 |
| UL EF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 9) | 78 | 22 | 52 | 48 |
| UL FA | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 50 | 50 | 62 | 38 |
| UL FDV | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 7) | 29 | 71 | 56 | 44 |
| UL FE | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 83 | 17 | 93 | 8 |
| UL FFA | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 7) | 71 | 29 | 52 | 48 |
| UL FGG | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 8) | 88 | 13 | 74 | 26 |
| UL FKKT | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 9) | 67 | 33 | 62 | 38 |
| UL FMF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 9) | 78 | 22 | 87 | 13 |
| UL FPP | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 4) | 75 | 25 | 68 | 32 |
| UL FRI | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 100 | 0 | 91 | 9 |
| UL FSD | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 4) | 0 | 100 | 21 | 79 |
| UL FS | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 83 | 17 | 91 | 9 |
| UL FŠ | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 83 | 17 | 71 | 29 |
| UL FU | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 9) | 56 | 44 | 49 | 51 |
| UL FF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 57 | 43 | 37 | 63 |
| UL MF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 83 | 17 | 51 | 49 |
| UL NTF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 50 | 50 | 49 | 51 |
| UL PEF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 7) | 57 | 43 | 31 | 69 |
| UL PF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 6) | 50 | 50 | 67 | 33 |
| UL TEOF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ |  | 60 | 40 | 70 | 30 |
| UL VF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 7) | 57 | 43 | 38 | 62 |
| UL ZF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 11) | 45 | 55 | 26 | 74 |
| Total sum |  |  | 66 | 34 | 57 | 43 |

Regarding Figure 17: The structure of employees involved in management boards at the UL member faculties ${ }^{9}$

The gender share in the structure of employees involved in management boards is a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty is a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$.

The gender share in the structure of employees involved in management boards is a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty is under 40\%.
The gender share in the structure of employees involved in management boards is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$.

The gender share in the structure of employees involved in management boards is $0 \%$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$.

The gender share in the structure of employees involved in management boards is under $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty reaches a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$.

UL BF, UL FU, UL NTF

At the UL FA, UL PF and UL TEOF, the gender share in the structure of employees is under $40 \%$ for women, whereas at the UL FF, UL PEF, UL VF and UL ZF the gender share in the structure of employees is under $40 \%$ for men. At the UL AG, UL AGRFT, UL ALUO, UL FE, UL FGG, UL FKKT, UL FMF, UL FPP, UL FS and UL FŠ, the gender share in the structure of employees involved in management boards as well as in the structure of employees at a member faculty is under $40 \%$ for women.

At the UL FRI, the gender share in the structure of employees involved in management boards is $0 \%$ for women, whereas at the UL FSD the gender share in the structure of employees involved in management boards is $0 \%$ for men.
At the UL EF, UL FFA and UL MF, the gender share in the structure of employees involved in management boards is under $40 \%$ for women, and at the same time reaches over $40 \%$ of the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty. At the UL FDV, the gender share stated refers to men.
(

9 The data only include the UL employees.

Figure 18: Structure of employees participating in commissions/committees, by gender and member faculty

Basis: All employees participating in commissions/committees at an individual member faculty

| UL member faculty |  |  | Structure of employees in commissions/committees at an individual member faculty (in \%) |  | Structure of employees at an individual member faculty (in \%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | M | F | M | F |
| UL AG | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 66) | 67 | 33 | 74 | 26 |
| UL AGRFT | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 29) | 83 | 17 | 66 | 34 |
| UL ALUO | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 39) | 62 | 38 | 70 | 30 |
| UL BF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 120) | 46 | 54 | 52 | 48 |
| UL EF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 96) | 42 | 58 | 52 | 48 |
| UL FA | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 30) | 63 | 37 | 62 | 38 |
| UL FDV | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 60) | 50 | 50 | 56 | 44 |
| UL FE | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 28) | 82 | 18 | 93 | 8 |
| UL FFA | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 47) | 49 | 51 | 52 | 48 |
| UL FGG | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 87) | 78 | 22 | 74 | 26 |
| UL FKKT | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 65) | 49 | 51 | 62 | 38 |
| UL FMF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 25) | 80 | 20 | 87 | 13 |
| UL FPP | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 19) | 68 | 32 | 68 | 32 |
| UL FRI | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 35) | 97 | 3 | 91 | 9 |
| UL FSD | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 47) | 32 | 68 | 21 | 79 |
| UL FS | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 101) | 95 | 5 | 91 | 9 |
| UL FŠ | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 46) | 78 | 22 | 71 | 29 |
| UL FU | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 63) | 57 | 43 | 49 | 51 |
| UL FF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 112) | 45 | 55 | 37 | 63 |
| UL MF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 93) | 48 | 52 | 51 | 49 |
| UL NTF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 49) | 49 | 51 | 49 | 51 |
| UL PEF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 133) | 41 | 59 | 31 | 69 |
| UL PF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 55) | 58 | 42 | 67 | 33 |
| UL TEOF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 56) | 73 | 27 | 70 | 30 |
| UL VF | ( $\mathrm{n}=$ | 54) | 31 | 69 | 38 | 62 |
| UL ZF |  |  | 29 | 71 | 26 | 74 |
| Total sum |  |  | 57 | 43 | 57 | 43 |

Regarding Figure 18: Structure of employees participating in commissions/committees of the UL member faculties

The gender share in the structure of employees participating in commissions/ committees is a minimum of $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$, and at the same time the gender share in the structure of employees at a member faculty

UL BF, UL EF, UL FDV, UL FFA, UL FU, UL MF, UL NTF
the gender share in the structure of employees involved in commissions/ committees as well as in the structure of employees at a member faculty is under $40 \%$ for men.

## 8. SUMMARY

At the UL, the higher education teaching staff is $59 \%$ male and $41 \%$ female, whereas the associate staff (only assistants are included) is $54 \%$ male and $46 \%$ female. In total, men and women account for $57 \%$ and $43 \%$ of the entire academic staff, respectively

Women account for $31 \%$ of those holding the title 'Full Professor', while men account for $8 \%$ of those holding the title 'Language Instructor'.

The gender share of employees holding the titles 'Rector' or 'Dean' is $26 \%$ female, and $38 \%$ female with regard to holding the title 'Vice-rector'. In the position of '(General) Secretary', $30 \%$ of employees with this title are men. In total, employees holding management positions are $58 \%$ men and $42 \%$ women, which differs from the figures for the overall UL employee structure (taking only academic staff into account) by 1 percentage point.

The member faculties' senates have $60 \%$ male and $40 \%$ female members, which differs from the UL employee structure (taking only academic staff into account) by 3 percentage points.

The overall gender representation in the management boards of the UL and the member faculties is $34 \%$ female, with women accounting for $30 \%$ of employees holding the title 'President', and $35 \%$ of those with the role of 'Member'.

The gender share of employees involved in commissions and committees of the UL and of the member faculties holding the title 'President' is $39 \%$ female.

Attachment to the analysis: Distribution of member faculties to Frascati/FORD fields

| Member faculty |  | Frascati field |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UL Academy of Music | UL AG | Humanities and arts |
| UL Academy of Fine Arts and Design | UL ALUO | Humanities and arts |
| UL Academy of Theatre, Radio, Film and Television | UL AGRFT | Humanities and arts |
| UL Biotechnical Faculty | UL BF | Biotechnical sciences |
| UL School of Economics and Business | UL EF | Social sciences |
| UL Faculty of Architecture | UL FA | Technical sciences |
| UL Faculty of Social Sciences | UL FDV | Social sciences |
| UL Faculty of Electrical Engineering | UL FE | Technical sciences |
| UL Faculty of Pharmacy | UL FFA | Medical sciences |
| UL Faculty of Civil and Geodetic Engineering | UL FGG | Technical sciences |
| UL Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Technology | UL FKKT | Natural-mathematical sciences |
| UL Faculty of Mathematics and Physics | UL FMF | Natural-mathematical sciences |
| UL Faculty of Maritime Studies and Transport | UL FPP | Technical sciences |
| UL Faculty of Computer and Information Science | UL FRI | Natural-mathematical sciences |
| UL Faculty of Mechanical Engineering | UL FS | Technical sciences |
| UL Faculty of Sport | UL FŠ | Social sciences |
| UL Faculty of Social Work | UL FSD | Social sciences |
| UL Faculty of Public Administration | UL FU | Social sciences |
| UL Faculty of Arts | UL FF | Humanities and arts |
| UL Faculty of Medicine | UL MF | Medical sciences |
| UL Faculty of Natural Sciences and Engineering | UL NTF | Technical sciences |
| UL Faculty of Education | UL PEF | Social sciences |
| UL Faculty of Law | UL PF | Social sciences |
| UL Faculty of Theology | UL TEOF | Humanities and arts |
| UL Veterinary Faculty | UL VF | Biotechnical sciences |
| UL Faculty of Health Sciences | Medical sciences |  |


[^0]:    1 Data are updated annually.
    2 We have chosen the Frascati field which appears most frequently in the Study Programme Records (EŠP) for an individual UL member faculty.

[^1]:    4 Interpretation requires care as the numbers are very low in certain fields.

[^2]:    6 The basis is not an individual employee; rather, it is involvement in an individual commission or committee. An employee may be involved in several commissions or committees.
    7 Also included are deputies.

